

# Association of American Geographers

1710 Sixteenth Street Northwest Washington, DC 20009-3198 Voice 202-234-1450 Fax 202-234-2744 gaia@aag.org http://www.aag.org

#### President

Victoria A. Lawson University of Washington

#### Vice President

Richard Marston Oklahoma State University

#### Secretary

Kavita K. Pandit University of Georgia

#### Treasurer

Darrell E. Napton South Dakota State University

### Past President

Alexander B. Murphy University of Oregon

#### **National Councillors**

Thomas J. Baerwald National Science Foundation

Sarah Witham Bednarz Texas A&M University

Kirstin Dow University of South Carolina

Kenneth Foote University of Colorado

> Ines Miyares Hunter College

Ann Oberhauser West Virginia University

## Regional Councillors

Stuart C. Aitken San Diego State University

Samuel Aryeetey-Attoh University of Toledo

Martha Geores University of Maryland

Jon Kilpinen Valparaiso University

Olen Paul Matthews University of New Mexico

Darrell E. Napton South Dakota State University

> Kavita K. Pandit University of Georgia

Gregory A. Pope Montclair State University

> Timothy Rickard Central Connecticut State University

Executive Director Douglas Richardson August 12, 2005

The Honorable Joe L. Barton Chairman U.S. House Committee on Energy and Commerce 2125 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515-6115

Dear Mr. Chairman:

On behalf of the Association of American Geographers (AAG), I am writing to convey our concern about your Committee's June 23 letters to scientists Michael Mann, Malcolm Hughes, and Raymond Bradley, which requested very detailed information and asked specific questions about these individuals' research in the field of climate science.

As has been noted time and again, it is certainly appropriate for Congress to examine scientific issues that impact the public policy process. Nonetheless, as House Science Committee Chairman Sherwood Boehlert asserted in his July 14 letter to you on this issue, your unprecedented approach "raises the specter of politicians opening investigations against any scientist who reaches a conclusion" that riles those in power, and is not the appropriate method of resolving a matter of scientific dispute.

Therefore, we join our colleagues in the scientific community, including American Association for the Advancement of Science CEO Alan Leshner and National Academy of Sciences President Ralph Cicerone, in calling on you to reconsider your approach. As Chairman Boehlert noted, appropriate methods for Congressional review include balanced hearings, briefings with scientists, and requests for reviews by the National Academy of Sciences or other expert bodies. We applaud the Academy for offering to create an independent expert panel, operating under rigorous study processes, to assess the state of scientific knowledge in the climate field and we encourage you to move your inquiry in this direction.

As former House Speaker Newt Gingrich has asserted constantly over the last several years, Federal spending on scientific research is critical to America's standing as the world's superpower. Equally critical to maintaining a robust scientific enterprise, however, is ensuring that peer review retains support as the process by which scientific findings on topics relevant to public policy are generated and used. Please let me know if we at the AAG can be of any assistance to your Committee.

Sincerely,

Douglas Richardson, Ph.D. Executive Director

Doug Richardson